

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION

Blue Spike, LLC,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

Texas Instruments, Inc. et al.,

*Defendants.*

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Case No. 6:12-cv-499-MHS

Lead Case

Jury Trial Demanded

**BLUE SPIKE’S RESPONSE**

**TO DEFENDANT AMANO CINCINNATI’S MOTION TO STRIKE [DKT. 769]**

Amano has asked the Court to strike Blue Spike’s surreply (Dkt. 742) on the ground that it expands the complaint. *See* Dkt. 769. The Court should deny Amano’s motion to strike—which is an impermissible attempt to get the last word—for three reasons:

First, Amano did not seek leave to file the motion, violating Local Rule CV-7(f), which requires leave of court for post-surreply filings.

Second, any fair reading of Blue Spike’s surreply reveals that it did not “expand” the complaint; it merely paraphrased it. *Compare* Dkt. 742 *with* Case No. 6:13-cv-109, Dkt. 1; *see also Westfall v. Miller*, 77 F.3d 868, 870 (5th Cir. 1996) (rejecting pedantic interpretations and instead requiring “a fair and reasonable reading of the pleadings”).

Third, no authority supports striking Blue Spike’s surreply. Amano cites no law that would justify striking the surreply. This is unsurprising since this Court expressly allows surreplies as a matter of right. *See* L.R. CV-7(f)). Further, there is

no basis for striking a surreply in its entirety. Rather, only particular *parts* of a surreply are subject to striking, namely, “arguments raised for the first time.” *Miles Bramwell USA, LLC v. Weight Watchers Int’l, Inc.*, No. 4:12-cv-292, 2013 WL 1797031, at \*4 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 27, 2013), *report and recommendation adopted*, 2013 WL 1793934 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 26, 2013). As explained, Blue Spike’s surreply does not raise any arguments for the first time anymore than it seeks to expand its complaint, so no part of it would merit striking even if Amano had properly sought leave or cited applicable authority.

For these reasons, Blue Spike respectfully asks the Court to disregard Amano’s motion to strike (Dkt. 769).

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Randall T. Garteiser

Randall T. Garteiser  
Lead Attorney  
Texas Bar No. 24038912  
rgarteiser@ghiplaw.com  
Christopher A. Honea  
Texas Bar No. 24059967  
chonea@ghiplaw.com  
Christopher S. Johns  
Texas Bar No. 24044849  
cjohns@ghiplaw.com  
**GARTEISER HONEA, P.C.**  
218 North College Avenue  
Tyler, Texas 75702  
(903) 705-0828  
(903) 526-5477 fax

Kirk J. Anderson  
California Bar No. 289043  
Peter S. Brasher  
California Bar No. 283992  
**GARTEISER HONEA, P.C.**

44 North San Pedro Road  
San Rafael, California 94903  
(415) 785-3762  
(415) 785-3805 fax

*Counsel for Blue Spike, LLC*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Randall T. Garteiser, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. I hereby certify that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3) on this day. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5, this document was served via U.S. Mail and electronic means to counsel for Defendant that are not receiving this document via CM/ECF.

/s/ Randall T. Garteiser  
Randall T. Garteiser